Case	2:18-cv-04315-DSF-JPR	Document 120	Filed 01/25/23	Page 1 of 3	Page ID #:2267	
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9	TIM	MITED OTATE	CO DICTRICT	COUDT		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11	WESTERN DIVISION					
12						
13	SECURITIES AND E. COMMISSION,	XCHANGE	Case No.	18-cv-4315	DSF (JPRx)	
14	Plaintiff,			ORDER APPROVING DISTRIBUTION MOTION		
15			DISTRI			
16	VS.					
17	TITANIUM BLOCKO INFRASTRUCTURE	:				
18	INC.; EHI INTERNET SYSTEMS MANAGE	TWORK AND				
19	aka EHI-INSM, INC.;					
20	ALAN STOLLERY aka MICHAEL STOLLAIRE,					
21	Defendan	ts.				
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Order Approving Distributi	on Memo	1 Case I	No. 18-cv-4315	DSF (JPRx)	

2 3 4

Before the Court is the Motion of Josias N. Dewey ("Receiver"), as Court-appointed Receiver for the estate of Defendant Titanium Blockchain Infrastructure Services, Inc., and its subsidiaries and/or affiliates, (collectively, the "Receivership Entity") seeking approval of the Notice and Motion for Approval of the Initial and Second Distributions: (i) approving both the Initial and Second Distribution Dates; (ii) approving the Distribution Notice, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 4; and (iii) authorizing the Initial and Second Distribution in all other respects (the "Motion"). The Court deems this matter appropriate for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78; Local Rule 7-15. The hearing set for January 30, 2023, is removed from the Court's calendar.

A single quasi-objection was received by the Court and the parties via e-mail from an investor claimant. The claimant objects to the amount of his claim allowed by the Receiver. The Court concludes that this Motion is not the appropriate vehicle for resolving this dispute. The Receiver has set aside \$146,000 for disputed claims. The claimant at issue appears to be the only claimant with a remaining dispute and this dispute involves a disputed amount of only \$15,000. Therefore, there are more than enough funds in the retained \$146,000 to compensate the claimant if his arguments are later found to be meritorious and there is no reason to delay the distributions sought by the Receiver in this Motion.

The Court otherwise finds good cause to conclude that the Motion is fair and reasonable given the circumstances of the similarly situated claimants. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Receiver's Motion is **GRANTED** in all respects.
- 2. The Initial and Second Distributions are approved in all respects and the Receiver is authorized to carry them out in accordance with the Distribution Plan and Motion.
- 3. The Receiver is authorized to make the Initial and Second Distributions on the same Distribution Date, occurring within 45 days following an entry of this Order.